GEOMETRIC MODELS FOR THE SPECTRA OF CERTAIN GELFAND PAIRS ASSOCIATED WITH HEISENBERG GROUPS

CHAL BENSON AND GAIL RATCLIFF

ABSTRACT. Let K be a compact Lie group acting on a finite dimensional Hermitian vector space V via some unitary representation. Now K acts by automorphisms on the associated Heisenberg group $H_V = V \times \mathbb{R}$ and we say that (K, H_V) is a Gelfand pair when the algebra $L_K^1(H_V)$ of integrable K-invariant functions on H_V commutes under convolution. In this situation an application of the Orbit Method yields a injective mapping Ψ from the space $\Delta(K, H_V)$ of bounded K-spherical functions on H_V to the space \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K of K-orbits in the dual of the Lie algebra for H_V . We prove that Ψ is a homeomorphism onto its image provided that the action of K on V is "well-behaved" in a sense made precise in this work. Our result encompasses a widely studied class of examples arising in connection with Hermitian symmetric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF RESULTS

Let N be a nilpotent Lie group and K a compact Lie group acting smoothly on N via automorphisms to yield a *Gelfand pair*. That is, we assume that the algebra $L_K^1(N)$ of integrable K-invariant functions on N is abelian. It is known that N is necessarily at most 2-step nilpotent [BJR90]. Now the spectrum, or Gelfand space, for the commutative Banach algebra $L_K^1(N)$ coincides, via integration, with the set $\Delta(K, N)$ of bounded K-spherical functions on N endowed with the compact-open topology.

In [BR08] we established a one-to-one correspondence between spherical functions $\varphi \in \Delta(K, N)$ and certain K-orbits in the dual of the Lie algebra for N. In outline this works as follows: Form the semi-direct product $G = K \ltimes N$, let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{n}$ denote the Lie algebras for G, K, N, respectively, and identify \mathfrak{n}^* with the annihilator of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g}^* . Each bounded spherical function φ on N has positive type [BJR90] and hence is a matrix coefficient for some irreducible unitary representation ρ_{φ} of G. An orbit method, due to Pukanszky [Puk78] and Lipsman [Lip80, Lip82], associates an $Ad^*(G)$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}(\rho_{\varphi}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ to ρ_{φ} . Now $\mathcal{O}(\rho_{\varphi})$ meets \mathfrak{n}^* , the intersection $\mathcal{O}(\rho_{\varphi}) \cap \mathfrak{n}^*$ is a K-orbit in \mathfrak{n}^* , and the resulting map

(1.1)
$$\Psi: \Delta(K, N) \to \mathfrak{n}^*/K, \qquad \Psi(\phi) = \mathcal{O}(\rho_{\omega}) \cap \mathfrak{n}^*$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 43A90; Secondary 43A80, 22E25.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand Pairs, Spherical Functions, Nilpotent Lie Groups, Orbit Method. We dedicate this work in fond memory of Joe Jenkins (1941-2010), our friend and collaborator.

is injective. We let $\mathcal{A}(K, N)$ denote the image of Ψ viewed as a subspace of \mathfrak{n}^*/K under the quotient topology.

Conjecture 1.1. [BR08] Ψ is a homeomorphism $\Delta(K, N) \cong \mathcal{A}(K, N)$.

This is shown in [BR08] for pairs with N abelian, for the action of the unitary group on the Heisenberg group, and for the action of the orthogonal group on the free 2-step group.

The current paper concerns Conjecture 1.1 for Gelfand pairs associated with Heisenberg groups. Throughout, $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ will be an *n*-dimensional complex vector space with Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The associated Heisenberg group is

$$H_V = V \times \mathbb{R}$$
 with product $(z,t)(z',t') = \left(z+z', t+t'-\frac{1}{2}Im\langle z,z'\rangle\right).$

The unitary group U(V) acts by automorphisms on H_V via

$$k \cdot (z, t) = (kz, t),$$

as a maximal compact connected subgroup of $Aut(H_V)$. We assume that K is a compact Lie group acting on $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ by some unitary representation to yield a Gelfand pair (K, H_V) . Equivalently K : V is a linear multiplicity free action in the sense that the associated representation of K in the space $\mathbb{C}[V]$ of holomorphic polynomial functions on V, namely

$$(k \cdot p)(z) = p(k^{-1}z),$$

is multiplicity free [Car87]. The possibilities for (K, H_V) are known, as the linear multiplicity free actions have been completely classified ([Kac80], [BR96], [Lea98]).

The Lie algebra of H_V is $\mathfrak{h}_V = V \times \mathbb{R}$ with bracket $[(z,t), (z',t')] = -Im\langle z, z' \rangle$. The group K acts on $\mathfrak{h}_V^* = \hom(\mathfrak{h}_V, \mathbb{R})$ via

$$(k \cdot \ell)(z, t) = \ell \left(k^{-1} \cdot z, t \right)$$

and we obtain an injective mapping $\Psi : \Delta(K, H_V) \to \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K$ as outlined above.

Letting $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V) := \Psi(\Delta(K, H_V))$, we establish Conjecture 1.1 for Gelfand pairs (K, H_V) subject to the hypothesis that the action K : V be *well-behaved*. This condition is made precise below in Definition 2.4. Under this hypothesis $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ provides a canonical geometric model for the space $\Delta(K, H_V)$ in the spirt of the Orbit Method.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. If the multiplicity free action K: V is well-behaved then

$$\Psi: \Delta(K, H_V) \to \mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$$

is a homeomorphism.

 $\mathbf{2}$

The literature contains an "eigenvalue picture" for the spectrum of a Gelfand pair (K, H_V) : The spherical functions $\varphi \in \Delta(K, H_V)$ are joint eigenfunctions for the algebra $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ of differential operators on H_V invariant under K and the left action of H_V . Choosing a finite set \mathcal{L} of formally self-adjoint generators for $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ produces a map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}: \Delta(K, H_V) \to \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{L}|}$$

sending each spherical function to its eigenvalues with respect to the operators \mathcal{L} . This is a homeomorphism onto its image, the *Heisenberg fan* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V) \subset \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{L}|}$. Equivalent fan models can be obtained by choosing different sets \mathcal{L} of generators for $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$. The papers [Bou81, FH87, Str91, BJRW96, ADBR07, ADBR09] each concern, in part, the Heisenberg fan and its applications. But the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a homeomorphism now follows from a more general result of Ferrari Ruffino [FR07].

A byproduct of our proof of Theorem 1.2 relates the orbital model $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ to the fan $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$, for chosen generators $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$. (See Corollary 4.4.) Proposition 4.2 below is the key. This asserts that for each operator $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ there is a continuous K-invariant function $\varepsilon_L : \mathfrak{h}_V^* \to \mathbb{C}$ whose value on the K-orbit $\Psi(\varphi)$ is the eigenvalue $\widehat{L}(\varphi)$ of L on each $\varphi \in \Delta(K, H_V)$. Our proof of Proposition 4.2 provides an explicit description of the map ε_L .

The hypothesis that the multiplicity free action K: V be well-behaved intervenes in our construction of the eigenvalue mappings ε_L . Definition 4.1 below provides an alternate description of the map Ψ which does not require explicit use of the semidirect product $K \ltimes N$. Key to this formulation is the un-normalized moment map, $\tau: V \to \mathfrak{k}^*$, for the action K: V. (See Subsection 2.5 below). The requirement that K: V be well-behaved relates the moment map to highest weight vectors occurring in $\mathbb{C}[V]$. The upshot is that the function $\varepsilon_L \in C(\mathfrak{h}_V^*)^K$ reproduces the eigenvalues of $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ via evaluation on $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$.

In Section 5 of this paper we prove that Theorem 1.2 encompasses examples arising in the context of Hermitian symmetric spaces. Let G/K be a Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ denote the Cartan decomposition for the Lie algebra of G. The Hermitian structure on the manifold G/K makes $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_{eK}(G/K)$ a complex Hermitian vector space and the isotropy representation of K on $T_{eK}(G/K)$ corresponds to the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{p} . It is well known that this action $K : \mathfrak{p}$ is multiplicity free [Joh80]. We will prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. The multiplicity free action $K : \mathfrak{p}$ is well-behaved.

In view of Theorem 1.2 the associated Gelfand pair (K, H_p) has $\Delta(K, H_p)$ homeomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(K, H_p)$ via Ψ . Our proof of Theorem 1.3 uses the structure theory for the Hermitian symmetric space G/K and provides an explicit description of $\mathcal{A}(K, H_p)$.

The extent to which the hypothesis that K : V be well-behaved limits the scope of Theorem 1.2 is unclear. At present we do not, however, know of any multiplicity free

actions which fail to be well-behaved. Should this be true in general, Theorem 1.2 would in fact establish Conjecture 1.1 for all Gelfand pairs (K, H_V) associated with Heisenberg groups. We hope to address this problem in a subsequent paper.¹

2. Preliminaries on the multiplicity free action K: V

As in the preceding discussion we assume that K is a compact Lie group acting unitarily on $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. We write $k \cdot v$ and $A \cdot v$ for the result of applying elements $k \in K$ and $A \in \mathfrak{k} := \operatorname{Lie}(K)$ to $v \in V$. Throughout (K, H_V) is assumed to be a Gelfand pair and hence K : V a linear multiplicity free action. Fixing notation, let

- $T \subset K$ denote a maximal torus in K with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$,
- $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the resulting Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$,
- *H* the corresponding subgroup in the complexified group $K_{\mathbb{C}}$,
- B := HN a fixed Borel subgroup in $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and
- $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the set of *B*-highest weights for irreducible representations of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ (or equivalently of *K*) occurring in $\mathbb{C}[V]$. Moreover, we write
- $P_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ for the unique irreducible subspace with highest weight $\alpha \in \Lambda$. So

$$\mathbb{C}[V] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{\alpha}$$

is the canonical decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ into irreducible subspaces for the actions of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and K. We let

• $\mathfrak{a}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the set $\mathfrak{a}^* := \mathbb{C}$ -Span(Λ).

Finally, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$:

- Choose $h_{\alpha} \in P_{\alpha}$, a *B*-highest weight vector (unique modulo \mathbb{C}^{\times});
- Let $d_{\alpha} = \dim(P_{\alpha});$
- Let $|\alpha|$ denote the degree of homogeneity of the polynomials in P_{α} .

Then $P_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{P}_{|\alpha|}(V)$ where $\mathcal{P}_m(V)$ is the space of holomorphic polynomials on V which are homogeneous of degree m.

2.1. Fundamental highest weights and rank. An element $\alpha \in \Lambda$ is said to be a *fundamental* highest weight for K : V when h_{α} is an irreducible polynomial. The fundamental highest weights form a finite \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent set

$$\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\}$$

which freely generates Λ as an additive semigroup [HU91]. The set $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ is, in particular, a basis for \mathfrak{a}^* . The value r is called the *rank* of the action K : V.

¹Added in proof: The authors have recently verified that all multiplicity free actions K : V with K acting irreducibly on V are well-behaved.

2.2. Invariant polynomials, differential operators and eigenvalues. In this subsection we summarize needed results from [Kno98]. Details on this material can also be found in [BR04, $\S7,9$]. We let

- $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K$ denote the algebra of K-invariant polynomials on the underlying real vector space for V and
- $\mathcal{PD}(V)^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ the space of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant polynomial coefficient differential operators on V.

Each $D \in \mathcal{PD}(V)^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ acts on P_{α} ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) by a scalar $\widehat{D}(\alpha)$. That is,

$$Dh_{\alpha} = \widehat{D}(\alpha)h_{\alpha}.$$

The mapping \widehat{D} on $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^+$ -Span $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ extends to a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathbb{C}$ -Span $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ invariant under the *little Weyl group* W_{\circ} , a certain subgroup of the stabilizer of \mathfrak{a}^* in the Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h})$. The map

$$\rho: \mathcal{PD}(V)^{K_{\mathbb{C}}} \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_{\circ}}, \qquad \rho(D) = \widehat{D}$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

As explained in [BR04, §7] each irreducible subspace $P_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) produces canonical invariant polynomials and differential operators

$$p_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K$$
 and $(D_{\alpha} := p_{\alpha}(z, \partial)) \in \mathcal{PD}(V)^{K_{\mathbb{C}}},$

so that

$$\{p_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}, \{D_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}, \{\widehat{D}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$$

are canonical bases for $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}, \mathcal{PD}(V)^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^{*}]^{W_{\circ}}$ respectively. Here

$$p_{\alpha}(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\alpha}} v_j(z) \overline{v}_j(\overline{z})$$

where $\{v_j : 1 \leq j \leq d_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis for P_α with respect to the Fock inner product on $\mathbb{C}[V]$.² The polynomial p_α is homogeneous of degree $2|\alpha|$, the differential operator D_α homogeneous of order $|\alpha|$ and the polynomial \widehat{D}_α has degree $|\alpha|$, but is, in general, non-homogeneous.

Letting $top(\cdot)$ denote the homogeneous component of highest degree in a polynomial we now define a mapping

(2.1)
$$\bar{\rho}: \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_{\circ}}$$

as the unique vector space homomorphism for which

$$\bar{\rho}(p_{\beta}) = \operatorname{top}(\rho(D_{\beta})) = \operatorname{top}(\widehat{D}_{\beta}).$$

As both $p_{\beta} \mapsto D_{\beta}$ and ρ are vector space isomorphisms, so is $\bar{\rho}$.

²In [BR04] and elsewhere the canonical invariants are $p_{\alpha}(z) = (1/d_{\alpha}) \sum_{j} v_{j}(z) \overline{v}_{j}(\overline{z})$. In the current paper we have chosen not to include the normalization factor $1/d_{\alpha}$.

There is also an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K \cong \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad p \leftrightarrow \widetilde{p}$$

determined by the rule

$$p(z) = \widetilde{p}(z, z^*)$$

where $z^* = \langle \cdot, z \rangle$ for $z \in V$. Using this correspondence one can transplant $\bar{\rho}$ to the domain $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ and provide an alternate description. For $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and any point $z \in V$ with $h_{\alpha}(z) \neq 0$, let $\eta(z, \alpha)$ denote the differential form $(\partial \log h_{\alpha})(z)$ on V. That is, $\eta(z, \alpha) \in V^*$ is a linear functional given by

(2.2)
$$\eta(z,\alpha)(w) := \frac{(\partial_w h_\alpha)(z)}{h_\alpha(z)}$$

where $\partial_w h_{\alpha}$ is the directional derivative

$$(\partial_w h_\alpha)(z) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h_\alpha(z+tw) - h_\alpha(z)}{t}$$

Then

(2.3)
$$\bar{\rho}(p_{\beta})(\alpha) = \tilde{p}_{\beta}(z, \eta(z, \alpha))$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, independent of $z \in V$ with $h_{\alpha}(z) \neq 0$.

2.3. Eigenvalue polynomials on V. We use the isomorphism $\bar{\rho} : \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_{\circ}}$ to pull the polynomials $\widehat{D}_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_{\circ}}$ back to the vector space V.

Definition 2.1. For $\beta \in \Lambda$, $E_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K$ will denote the unique polynomial with $\bar{\rho}(E_{\beta}) = \hat{D}_{\beta}$.

Lemma 2.2. $top(E_{\beta}) = p_{\beta}$. In particular, E_{β} is a (non-homogeneous) polynomial of degree $2|\beta|$.

Proof. One has $\bar{\rho}(\operatorname{top}(E_{\beta})) = \operatorname{top}(\bar{\rho}(E_{\beta})) = \bar{\rho}(\widehat{D}_{\beta}) = \bar{\rho}(p_{\beta})$. As $\bar{\rho}$ is an isomorphism it follows that $\operatorname{top}(E_{\beta}) = p_{\beta}$.

The eigenvalue polynomials E_{β} are key to our subsequent analysis and proof of Theorem 1.2. Lemma 2.2 shows, in particular, that $\{E_{\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}$.

2.4. Orbit method for K. As in [BJLR97, BR08] we use a version of the Orbit Method for compact Lie groups to associate a coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_{α} in \mathfrak{k}^* to each irreducible subspace P_{α} in the decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[V]$. Note that the weight $\alpha \in \Lambda$ takes pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{t} . We extend the real valued functional $(1/i)\alpha$ from \mathfrak{t} to all of \mathfrak{k} as follows: Fix an Ad(K)-invariant inner product $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and let \mathfrak{t}^{\perp} denote the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{k} with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$.³ We let

³Replacing K by its image in U(V) one may, for concreteness, use $(A|B) := tr(AB^*) = -tr(AB)$.

• $\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ be the (real valued) linear functional on \mathfrak{k} satisfying

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}(A) = \begin{cases} -i\alpha(A) & \text{if } A \in \mathfrak{t} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \in \mathfrak{t}^{\perp} \end{cases},$$

and set

• $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = Ad^*(K)\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}.$

2.5. The moment map and spherical orbits in V. The unnormalized moment map $\tau: V \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ for the action K: V is given by the formula [Wil92]

$$\tau(v)(A) := i \langle A \cdot v, v \rangle.$$

Note that $\tau(v)$ takes real values because \mathfrak{k} acts on $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ by skew-hemitian operators. The moment map intertwines the action of the group K on V with its coadjoint action on \mathfrak{k}^* . Hence τ maps K-orbits in V to $Ad^*(K)$ -orbits in \mathfrak{k}^* . Moreover as K : Vis a multiplicity free action it is known that

- τ is one-to-one on K-orbits ([BJLR97, Theorem 1,3], [DP96]), and
- each coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_{α} ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) lies in the image of τ ([BJLR97, Proposition 4.1]).

Definition 2.3. The spherical orbit $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \in V/K$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$ is the unique K-orbit in V satisfying $\tau(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$.

One has

 $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} = K \cdot v_{\alpha}$ for some (possibly non-unique) $v_{\alpha} \in V$ with $\tau(v_{\alpha}) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

We call any such point $v_{\alpha} \in V$ a spherical point for α .

2.6. Well-behaved actions. Our formulation and proof of Theorem 1.2 requires a compatibility condition relating the spherical orbits $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \subset V$ and highest weight vectors $h_{\alpha} \in P_{\alpha}$.

Definition 2.4. Given $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we say that a spherical point v_{α} for α is well-adapted to h_{α} when the following conditions hold.

- (i) $h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha}) \neq 0$, and
- (ii) $(\partial_w h_\alpha)(v_\alpha) = \langle w, v_\alpha \rangle h_\alpha(v_\alpha)$ for all $w \in V$.

We say that the multiplicity free action K : V is *well-behaved* if for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ one can choose a spherical point v_{α} well-adapted to h_{α} .

In Section 5 it is shown that actions arising in connection with Hermitian symmetric spaces are well-behaved. The following proposition provides support for the idea that all multiplicity free actions are well-behaved. As K : V is multiplicity free the Borel subgroup B = HN in $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a Zariski-open dense orbit in the vector space V [Vin86].

Proposition 2.5. If v_{α} lies in the open B-orbit then v_{α} is well-adapted to h_{α} .

Proof. Suppose that v_{α} lies in the open *B*-orbit. As h_{α} is a non-zero *B*-semi-invariant we must have $h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha}) \neq 0$. It remains to verify condition (ii) in Definition 2.4.

A suitable ordering on the roots for $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to \mathfrak{h} enables one to decompose the Lie algebra for $B = HN = BN_+$ as $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ where \mathfrak{n}_+ is the sum of positive root spaces. Moreover $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ where \mathfrak{n}_- is the sum of negative root spaces. The weight $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ extends to a linear functional on all of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as zero on $\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$. On the other hand, one can extend the real-valued linear functional $\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ to a complex-linear functional on $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is not hard to check that these extensions are related via $\alpha = i\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}$ on $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. But

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}(A) = \tau(v_{\alpha})(A) = i \langle A \cdot v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha} \rangle$$

for $A \in \mathfrak{k}$ and the right hand side of this expression has an obvious extension to $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We conclude that

$$\alpha(X) = -\langle X \cdot v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha} \rangle$$

holds for all $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. As h_{α} is a *B*-highest weight vector we have $X \cdot h_{\alpha} = \alpha(X)h_{\alpha}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ and hence

$$X \cdot h_{\alpha} = -\langle X \cdot v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha} \rangle h_{\alpha} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{b}$$

On the other hand

$$(X \cdot h_{\alpha})(z) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\alpha} \left(\exp(-tX) \cdot z \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\alpha} \left(z - tX \cdot z + O(t^2) \right) = \left(\partial_{(-X \cdot z)} h_{\alpha} \right)(z).$$

So for $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ we obtain

$$\left(\partial_{(-X\cdot v_{\alpha})}h_{\alpha}\right)(v_{\alpha}) = -\langle X\cdot v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}\rangle h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha})$$

or equivalently

$$(\partial_w h_\alpha)(v_\alpha) = \langle w, v_\alpha \rangle h_\alpha(v_\alpha)$$

for all $w \in \mathfrak{b} \cdot v_{\alpha}$. But $\mathfrak{b} \cdot v_{\alpha} = V$ since v_{α} lies in the open *B*-orbit.

Remark 2.6. For multiplicity free actions K : V of rank greater than one some spherical orbits \mathcal{K}_{α} typically lie in the complement of the open *B*-orbit. In particular, the generators of Λ will not be in the open orbit. The examples given below in Section 5 illustrate this situation. So Proposition 2.5 alone is, in general, insufficient to conclude that a given action K : V is well-behaved.

Lemma 2.7. For a well-behaved multiplicity free action K : V the eigenvalue polynomials E_{β} (see Definition 2.1) have the following properties.

(a) $E_{\beta}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}) = \widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, and (b) $E_{\beta}(0) = 0$ for $\beta \neq 0$.

Proof. As K : V is well-behaved we can choose, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, a spherical point v_{α} well-adapted to h_{α} . Note that $E_{\beta}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}) = E_{\beta}(v_{\alpha})$ by K-invariance. Let $t_{\beta} :=$

 $\operatorname{top}(\widehat{D}_{\beta}) = \overline{\rho}(p_{\beta})$ so that $\{t_{\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda\}$ is a homogeneous basis for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_{\circ}}$ and we can write

$$\widehat{D}_{\beta} = t_{\beta} + \sum_{|\delta| < |\beta|} c_{\beta,\delta} t_{\delta}$$

for some coefficients $c_{\beta,\delta} \in \mathbb{C}$. Equivalently

$$\bar{\rho}(E_{\beta}) = \bar{\rho}\left(p_{\beta} + \sum_{|\delta| < |\beta|} c_{\beta,\delta} p_{\delta}\right)$$

and as $\bar{\rho}$ is a vector space isomorphism this gives also

$$E_{\beta} = p_{\beta} + \sum_{|\delta| < |\beta|} c_{\beta,\delta} p_{\delta}.$$

Equation 2.3 yields

$$t_{\beta}(\alpha) = \bar{\rho}(p_{\beta})(\alpha) = \tilde{p}_{\beta}(z, \eta(z, \alpha))$$

for any $z \in V$ satisfying $h_{\alpha}(z) \neq 0$. Condition (i) in Definition 2.4 allows us to take $z = v_{\alpha}$ and condition (ii) gives $\eta(v_{\alpha}, \alpha) = \langle \cdot, v_{\alpha} \rangle = v_{\alpha}^*$. So now

$$t_{\beta}(\alpha) = \widetilde{p}_{\beta}(v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^{*}) = p_{\beta}(v_{\alpha})$$

and we obtain

$$\widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha) = t_{\beta}(\alpha) + \sum_{|\delta| < |\beta|} c_{\beta,\delta} t_{\delta}(\alpha)$$
$$= p_{\beta}(v_{\alpha}) + \sum_{|\delta| < |\beta|} c_{\beta,\delta} p_{\delta}(v_{\alpha})$$
$$= E_{\beta}(v_{\alpha}).$$

This proves (a) in the statement of Lemma 2.7.

The trivial representation of K occurs in $\mathbb{C}[V]$ on the constant polynomials $\mathcal{P}_0(V) = \mathbb{C}$. This corresponds to the coadjoint orbit $\{0\} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ and $v_0 = 0$ is a spherical point in V with $\tau(v_0) = 0$. For $(\beta \neq 0) \in \Lambda$ the differential operator D_β is homogeneous of order $|\beta| > 0$ and hence annihilates constants. So $\widehat{D}_\beta(0) = 0$ and (a) implies

$$E_{\beta}(0) = E_{\beta}(v_0) = D_{\beta}(0) = 0,$$

completing the proof.

3. Spherical functions on the Heisenberg group

3.1. The algebra $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$. Using an orthonormal basis to identify V with \mathbb{C}^n the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_V for H_V has basis

$$\{Z_1,\ldots,Z_n,\overline{Z}_1,\ldots,\overline{Z}_n,T\}$$

where

$$Z_j = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + i\frac{z_j}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad \overline{Z}_j = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\frac{\overline{z}_j}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad T = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

satisfy $[Z_j, \overline{Z}_j] = 2T$. Given a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]$ we let $p(Z, \overline{Z})$ denote the left-invariant differential operator

$$p(Z,\overline{Z}) = \sum c_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} Z_1^{a_1} \cdots Z_n^{a_n} \overline{Z}_1^{b_1} \cdots \overline{Z}_n^{b_n},$$

where $p(z, \overline{z}) = \sum c_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} z^{\mathbf{a}} \overline{z}^{\mathbf{b}} = \sum c_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_n^{a_n} \overline{z}_1^{b_1} \cdots \overline{z}_n^{b_n}$ is an expression for p in coordinates with respect to the chosen basis.

As (K, H_V) is a Gelfand pair the algebra $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ of left- H_V and K-invariant differential operators on H_V is abelian with generating set

$$\left\{L_{\beta} := (-1)^{|\beta|} p_{\beta}(Z, \overline{Z}) : \beta \in \Lambda\right\} \cup \{T\}.$$

3.2. The space $\Delta(K, H_V)$. A smooth K-invariant function $\varphi : H_V \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be K-spherical if it is a joint eigenfunction for the operators $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$,

$$(L\varphi)(z,t) = L(\varphi)\varphi(z,t),$$

with $\varphi(0,0) = 1$. The space $\Delta(K, H_V)$ is the set of bounded K-spherical functions endowed with the compact-open topology.

The bounded K-spherical functions are of two types [BJR92].

• Type 1: For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$ one has $\phi_{\lambda,\alpha} \in \Delta(K, H_V)$ given by

$$\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}(z,t) = q_{\alpha} \left(\frac{|\lambda||z|^2}{2}\right) e^{-|\lambda||z|^2/4} e^{i\lambda t}$$

where $q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}$ is a certain K-invariant polynomial on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\operatorname{top}(q_{\alpha}) = (1/d_{\alpha})p_{\alpha}$.

• Type 2: For each K-orbit $K \cdot w \in V/K$ one has $\eta_{K \cdot w} \in \Delta(K, H_V)$ given by

$$\eta_{K \cdot w}(z,t) = \int_{K} e^{iRe\langle w, \, kz \rangle} \, dk.$$

The differential operators $L_{\beta} = (-1)^{|\beta|} p_{\beta}(Z, \overline{Z})$ and $T = -i\partial/\partial t$ take the following eigenvalues on $\Delta(K, H_V)$:

(3.1) $\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}) = (2|\lambda|)^{|\beta|} \widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha), \qquad \widehat{T}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}) = \lambda,$

(3.2)
$$\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\eta_{K \cdot w}) = p_{\beta}(w), \qquad \qquad \widehat{T}(\eta_{K \cdot w}) = 0.$$

(See [BR98, §4] and [BJRW96, Lemma 3.2] for the calculation of $\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha})$ and $\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\eta_{K\cdot w})$ respectively.)

Remark 3.1. It is known that the eigenvalues $\widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha)$ are non-negative real numbers [BR98]. So Equation 3.1 shows that $\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha})$ is a non-negative real number. This motivates the factor of $(-1)^{|\beta|}$ in our definition of L_{β} . In [BJRW96] and elsewhere operators $L_p \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ are instead defined for given $p \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K$ via $L_p = \text{Sym}(p(Z,\overline{Z}))$, the symmetrization of $p(Z,\overline{Z})$. These symmetrized operators are canonical in the sense that they do not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis used to identify V with \mathbb{C}^n . The simple relationship between the eigenvalues $\widehat{L}_{\beta}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha})$ and $\widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha)$ given by Equation 3.1 explains our preference for *Wick ordered* operators $p(Z,\overline{Z})$ in this paper. Identities relating the eigenvalues for Wick, anti-Wick, and symmetrized operators can be found in [BR98].

3.3. The Heisenberg fan $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$. [BJRW96, FR07]. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L_1, \ldots, L_m\}$ be a finite set of generators for the algebra $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$. It is well known that the mapping

(3.3)
$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}} := \widehat{L}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{L}_m\right) : \Delta(K, H_V) \to \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image, the Heisenberg fan

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$$

If each L_j is formally self-adjoint, then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ embeds $\Delta(K, H_V)$ in \mathbb{R}^m . One such generating set is $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, L_{\alpha_r}, T\}$ where $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ are the fundamental highest weights in Λ . By selecting other generating sets one produces equivalent fan models for the space $\Delta(K, H_V)$, potentially embedded in larger Euclidean spaces.

4. The orbital model $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ for $\Delta(K, H_V)$

For $(z,t) \in \mathfrak{h}_V$, let $\ell_{(z,t)} \in \mathfrak{h}_V^*$ denote the linear functional

$$\ell_{(z,t)}(z',t') = \operatorname{Im}\langle z, z' \rangle + tt'.$$

We will use the U(V)-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{h}_v \to \mathfrak{h}_V^*, \quad (z,t) \mapsto \ell_{(z,t)}$$

to identify \mathfrak{h}_V^* with \mathfrak{h}_V and \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K with \mathfrak{h}_V/K . In particular, points in \mathfrak{h}_V^* will be written as pairs (z, t).

In [BR08] the mapping Ψ defined by Equation 1.1 is given an alternate description which is less conceptual but more useful for purposes of computation. For Gelfand pairs (K, H_V) we may adopt this alternate formulation as a definition.

Definition 4.1. [BR08] Let

$$\Psi: \Delta(K, H_V) \to \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K$$

be the mapping defined via

$$\Psi(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}) = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha} := \sqrt{2|\lambda|} \,\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \times \{\lambda\} \qquad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}, \ \alpha \in \Lambda),$$

$$\Psi(\eta_{K \cdot w}) = (K \cdot w) \times \{0\},$$

where \mathcal{K}_{α} is as in Definition 2.3. (i.e. $\tau(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$.)

In [BR08] we showed that Ψ is injective. Let $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ denote the image of Ψ endowed with the subspace topology from the quotient topology on \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K . We conjecture that Ψ is a homeomorphism $\Delta(K, H_V) \cong \mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$. Our main result, Theorem 1.2, shows that this is indeed the case provided that the multiplicity free action K: V is well-behaved. The following result plays an essential role in our proof.

Proposition 4.2. If K : V is well-behaved then for each differential operator $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ there is a continuous K-invariant function $\varepsilon_L \in C(\mathfrak{h}_V^*)^K$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon_L(\Psi(\varphi)) = \widehat{L}(\varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(K, H_V)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove this for the operators $L_{\beta} = (-1)^{|\beta|} p_{\beta}(Z, \overline{Z})$ ($\beta \in \Lambda$) and $T = -i\partial/\partial t$, as these generate $\mathbb{D}_{K}(H_{V})$. The eigenvalues $\widehat{L}(\varphi)$ for these operators are given by Equations 3.1, 3.2. Clearly

$$\varepsilon_T(z,t) = t$$

is continuous, K-invariant and satisfies

$$\varepsilon_T \big(\Psi(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}) \big) = \varepsilon_T(\mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha}) = \lambda = \widehat{T}(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}),$$

$$\varepsilon_T \big(\Psi(\eta_{K \cdot w}) \big) = \varepsilon_T \big((K \cdot w) \times \{0\} \big) = 0 = \widehat{T}(\eta_{K \cdot w})$$

For $\beta \in \Lambda$, we take $\varepsilon_{L_{\beta}} = \varepsilon_{\beta}$ where

(4.1)
$$\varepsilon_{\beta}(z,t) = \begin{cases} (2|t|)^{|\beta|} E_{\beta}\left(z/\sqrt{2|t|}\right) & \text{for } t \neq 0\\ p_{\beta}(z) & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $E_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}$ is the eigenvalue polynomial given by Definition 2.1. As deg $(E_{\beta}) = 2|\beta|$ and top $(E_{\beta}) = p_{\beta}$ (Lemma 2.2), we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \varepsilon_{\beta}(z, t) = p_{\beta}(z) = \varepsilon_{\beta}(z, 0)$$

and this limit is uniform on compact sets in z. Hence ε_{β} is a continuous function. The map ε_{β} is moreover K-invariant since both E_{β} and p_{β} are K-invariant. Finally we compute

$$\varepsilon_{\beta} \big(\Psi(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha}) \big) = \varepsilon_{\beta} \big(\mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha} \big) = (2|\lambda|)^{|\beta|} E_{\beta}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}) = (2|\lambda|)^{|\beta|} \widehat{D}_{\beta}(\alpha) = \widehat{L}_{\beta} \big(\phi_{\lambda,\alpha} \big),$$

$$\varepsilon_{\beta} \big(\Psi(\eta_{K \cdot w}) \big) = \varepsilon_{\beta} \big(K \cdot w \times \{0\} \big) = p_{\beta}(w) = \widehat{L}_{\beta} \big(\eta_{K \cdot w} \big),$$

in view of Lemma 2.7(a) and Equations 3.1, 3.2.

12

Example 4.3. Suppose now that the multiplicity free action K : V is well-behaved and consider the operator $L_{\circ} \in \mathbb{D}_{K}(H_{V})$ given by

(4.2)
$$L_{\circ} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j} \overline{Z}_{j} = -p_{\circ}(Z, \overline{Z})$$

where

$$p_{\circ}(z) = |z|^2 = \sum_{|\beta|=1} p_{\beta}(z).$$

The corresponding operator $D_{\circ} \in \mathcal{PD}(V)^G$ is $D_{\circ} = -p_{\circ}(z,\partial)$ where

$$p_{\circ}(z,\partial) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

is the degree operator. Lemma 2.2 together with Lemma 2.7(b) show that the polynomial $E_{\circ} \in \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}$ has deg $(E_{\circ}) = 2$, top $(E_{\circ}) = p_{\circ}$ and $E_{\circ}(0) = 0$. As $\mathcal{P}_{1}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{K} = \{0\}$ it follows that $E_{\circ} = p_{\circ}$. Equation 4.1 now shows that the function $\varepsilon_{\circ} = \varepsilon_{L_{\circ}}$ is simply

(4.3)
$$\varepsilon_{\circ}(z,t) = p_{\circ}(z) = |z|^2$$

We remark that $-\text{Sym}(L_{\circ})$ is the usual Heisenberg sub-Laplacian.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume that the multiplicity free action K : V is well-behaved. Let $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\Delta(K, H_V)$, $\varphi \in \Delta(K, H_V)$, and write $\mathcal{K}_n = \Psi(\varphi_n), \mathcal{K} = \Psi(\varphi)$. We will show that

$$\varphi_n \longrightarrow \varphi \text{ in } \Delta(K, H_V) \iff \mathcal{K}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \text{ in } \mathfrak{h}_V^*/K.$$

The Heisenberg fan model shows that $\varphi_n \longrightarrow \varphi$ if and only if $\widehat{L}(\varphi_n) \longrightarrow \widehat{L}(\varphi)$ for every $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$. So it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{K}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \iff \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K}_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K}) \text{ for every } L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V).$$

in view of Proposition 4.2. Continuity of the functions ε_L ensures that if $\mathcal{K}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ then $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K}_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K})$. It remains to prove the converse.

Assume now that $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K}_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{K})$ for each $L \in \mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$ and choose points $(z_n, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n, (z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{K}$. As $\varepsilon_T(z_n, \lambda_n) = \lambda_n$ and $\varepsilon_T(z, \lambda) = \lambda$ it follows that

$$\lambda_n \longrightarrow \lambda.$$

Applying $\varepsilon_{\circ} = \varepsilon_{L_{\circ}}$, with $L_{\circ} = -Z \cdot \overline{Z}$ as in Equation 4.2, one concludes that $|z_n|^2 \longrightarrow |z|^2$,

in view of Equation 4.3. So $(z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is, in particular, bounded. By passing to a subsequence if necessary we can assume that $(z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges and write

$$\lim z_n = z_\circ$$

say. Now $\mathcal{K}_n = K \cdot (z_n, \lambda_n)$ converges to $K \cdot (z_o, \lambda) = K z_o \times \{\lambda\}$ whereas $\mathcal{K} = K \cdot (z, \lambda) = K z \times \{\lambda\}$. To complete the proof we will show that $K z_o = K z$.

For each $\beta \in \Lambda$ we have $\varepsilon_{\beta}(z_{\mathfrak{n}}, \lambda_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_{\beta}(z, \lambda)$ since $\varepsilon_{\beta}(\mathcal{K}_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_{\beta}(\mathcal{K})$ by hypothesis. On the other hand as $(z_n, \lambda_n) \longrightarrow (z_o, \lambda)$ we have $\varepsilon_{\beta}(z_{\mathfrak{n}}, \lambda_n) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_{\beta}(z_o, \lambda)$ by continuity of ε_{β} and hence

$$\varepsilon_{\beta}(z_{\circ}, \lambda) = \varepsilon_{\beta}(z, \lambda)$$
 for every $\beta \in \Lambda$.

If $\lambda = 0$ Equation 4.1 now yields

$$p_{\beta}(z_{\circ}) = p_{\beta}(z)$$
 for every $\beta \in \Lambda$.

It follows that $Kz_{\circ} = Kz$ since $\{p_{\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^{K}$ and the invariants for a compact linear action separate orbits [OV90, Theorem 3.4.3]. When $\lambda \neq 0$ Equation 4.1 shows

$$E_{\beta}(z_{\circ}/\sqrt{2|\lambda|}) = E_{\beta}(z/\sqrt{2|\lambda|})$$
 for every $\beta \in \Lambda$.

As the set $\{E_{\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{R}}]^K$ it again follows that $Kz_{\circ} = Kz$. \Box

4.2. The models $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$. We conclude this section by relating the orbital model $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ for $\Delta(K, H_V)$ to that given by the Heisenberg fan $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$. (See (3.3).) Suppose that K : V is well-behaved, choose a set $\mathcal{L} = \{L_1, \ldots, L_m\}$ of generators for $\mathbb{D}_K(H_V)$, and let

$$\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} := \varepsilon_{L_1} \times \cdots \times \varepsilon_{L_m}\right) : \mathfrak{h}_V^* o \mathbb{C}^m$$

Proposition 4.2 shows that the diagram

commutes and we have seen that both Ψ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ are homeomorphisms. This proves:

Corollary 4.4. If K : V is well-behaved then the map $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{A}(K, H_V) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$ is a homeomorphism from the orbital model $\mathcal{A}(K, H_V)$ to the Heisenberg fan $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K, H_V)$.

5. Hermitian symmetric spaces

Let G/K be a Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ denote the Cartan decomposition for the Lie algebra of G. The real vector space \mathfrak{p} inherits a complex Hermitian structure and K acts unitarily on \mathfrak{p} via Ad. The action $K : \mathfrak{p}$ is, in fact, multiplicity free [Joh80]. Here we will prove that $K : \mathfrak{p}$ is wellbehaved (Theorem 1.3), so that $\Delta(K, H_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(K, H_{\mathfrak{p}})$ via Ψ . We will, moreover, identify the spherical orbits in this setting (Proposition 4.2 below), rendering the model $\mathcal{A}(K, H_{\mathfrak{p}})$ relatively explicit. A concrete example is given at the end of this section. The reader may wish to study this in parallel with the general theory.

If G/K is a reducible Hermitian symmetric space then $K : \mathfrak{p}$ splits as a product action. So we assume henceforth that G/K is irreducible. The classification of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces [Hel78, Chapter X] shows that, up to geometric equivalence, $K : \mathfrak{p}$ is one of the following.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} \left(U(n) \times U(m)\right) : \left(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m\right) & U(n) : S^2(\mathbb{C}^n) & U(n) : \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \\ \hline \left(SO(n) \times \mathbb{T}\right) : \mathbb{C}^n & \left(Spin(10) \times \mathbb{T}\right) : \mathbb{C}^{16} & \left(E_6 \times \mathbb{T}\right) : \mathbb{C}^{27} \end{array} \right\}$$

5.1. Structure theory. We require some facts concerning the structure theory for irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type. [Hel78, Chapter VIII] is a standard reference for this material.

G is a connected non-compact simple Lie group and *K* a maximal compact subgroup with center $Z(K) \cong \mathbb{T}$. The complex structure $J : \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}$ (with $J^2 = -I$) on \mathfrak{p} is given by $J = ad(Z_{\circ})|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for a distinguished element $Z_{\circ} \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$. The map *J* extends to a complex-linear map on the complexification of \mathfrak{p} which decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{p}_{+}\oplus\mathfrak{p}_{-}$$

where \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} are the $(\pm i)$ -eigenspaces for $J : \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ now decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}\oplus\mathfrak{p}_{+}\oplus\mathfrak{p}_{-}$$

where

$$[\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{p}_{\pm}]\subset\mathfrak{p}_{\pm},\quad [\mathfrak{p}_+,\mathfrak{p}_+]=0=[\mathfrak{p}_-,\mathfrak{p}_-],\quad [\mathfrak{p}_+,\mathfrak{p}_-]=\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The map $T_+: \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}_+$ given by

(5.1)
$$T_{+}(X) = \frac{1}{2} (X - iJ(X))$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces intertwining the adjoint actions of K on \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}_+ . We proceed to work with the action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ in place of $K : \mathfrak{p}$.

The real subspace $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$ is a compact real form in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We let $c_{\mathfrak{u}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the conjugation mapping with respect to this real form.⁴ Letting *B* denote the Killing form,

(5.2)
$$\langle X, Y \rangle = B_{\mathfrak{u}}(X, Y) := -B(X, c_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y))$$

is a positive definite Hermitian inner product on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the adjoint action of K on $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is unitary.

As in Section 2 we choose a maximal torus T in K, let $\mathfrak{t} := \operatorname{Lie}(T)$ and $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is a crucial fact that \mathfrak{h} is also a Cartan subalgebra for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to \mathfrak{h} and consider the (one-dimensional) root spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\delta} := \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{g} : [H, X] = \delta(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{h} \right\} \quad (\delta \in \Delta)$$

⁴In [Hel78] and elsewhere this conjugation is written as τ but this conflicts with our notation for the moment map.

One has $c_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\delta}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-\delta}$. As $B(\mathfrak{g}^{\delta}, \mathfrak{g}^{\delta'}) = 0$ when $\delta + \delta' \neq 0$ it follows that the root spaces are orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Each root space lies in either $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ or $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We let

$$C := \left\{ \delta \in \Delta : \mathfrak{g}^{\delta} \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}, \qquad Q := \left\{ \delta \in \Delta : \mathfrak{g}^{\delta} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\},$$

and call the roots in C and Q compact and non-compact respectively. One can choose an ordering for the roots, to produce sets Δ^{\pm} of positive/negative roots, in such a way that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\pm} = igoplus_{\delta \in Q^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}^{\delta}$$

where $Q^{\pm} := Q \cap \Delta^{\pm}$. Letting $C^{\pm} := C \cap \Delta^{\pm}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} := \bigoplus_{\delta \in C^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}^{\delta}$ we now have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} = ig(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{-}ig) \oplus ig(\mathfrak{p}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-}ig)$$

and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_+ := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ is a Borel subalgebra in $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A pair of roots $\delta, \delta' \in \Delta$ are said to be *strongly orthogonal* when neither $\delta + \delta'$ nor $\delta - \delta'$ is a root. Using our fixed choice of root ordering one inductively constructs a maximal ordered set of strongly orthogonal non-compact positive roots

$$(\Gamma := \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}) \subset Q^{-1}$$

as follows: Let δ_1 be the lowest root in Q^+ , and having chosen $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_j$ let δ_{j+1} be the lowest root in $Q^+ \setminus \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_j\}$, strongly orthogonal to each of $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_j$. We let $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{p}_+$ be the subspace

$$\Sigma := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{\gamma} = \mathfrak{g}^{\delta_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\delta_r}.$$

The value $r = |\Gamma| = \dim(\Sigma)$ is the *rank* of the symmetric space G/K. In fact $\mathfrak{a} := T_+^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{p} and the rank is, by definition, the dimension of such a subalgebra.

Remark 5.1. Σ is a cross section to the *K*-orbits in \mathfrak{p}_+ . Equivalently, \mathfrak{a} is a cross section to the *K*-orbits in \mathfrak{p} . As observed in [Kob07] this follows from the decomposition G = KAK. In fact \mathfrak{a} is a *slice* for the action $K : \mathfrak{p}$ in the sense of [Kob05]. See also [Sas09].

5.2. Decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+]$. Let $\Gamma = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_+$ be as above. The following result is due to Kenneth Johnson.

Theorem 5.2. [Joh80] The action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ is multiplicity free and the fundamental highest weights occurring in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+]$, relative to the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} , are

$$\left\{\alpha_j := -(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_j) : 1 \le j \le r\right\}$$

Moreover, the representation in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+]$ with highest weight α_j occurs in degree $|\alpha_j| = j$.

Thus the rank of the multiplicity free action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ agrees with the rank of the symmetric space G/K and

 $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^+ \operatorname{-Span}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \left\{ -(k_1 \delta_1 + \dots + k_r \delta_r) : k_1 \ge k_2 \ge \dots \ge k_r \ge 0, \ k_j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is the set of highest weights occurring in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}_+]$.

5.3. The moment map for $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$. We now write

$$Q^+ = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r, \delta_{r+1} \ldots, \delta_n\},\$$

where $\Gamma = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ is as above, and choose root vectors $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}^{\delta_j}$ with $\langle X_j, X_j \rangle = 1$. As the root spaces are orthogonal, $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{p}_+ and $\{X_1, \ldots, X_r\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the subspace Σ .

Lemma 5.3. The restriction to Σ of the moment map $\tau : \mathfrak{p}_+ \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ for the action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ is given by

$$\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j X_j\right) = i \sum_{j=1}^r |c_j|^2 \delta_j.$$

The right hand side of this formula belongs to \mathfrak{h}^* . We regard this as a \mathbb{C} -linear functional on all of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by extending as zero on $\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{\delta \in C} \mathfrak{g}^{\delta} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The restriction of this linear functional from $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to \mathfrak{k} is real valued because each root $\delta \in \Delta$ takes pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{t} . This accounts for the factor of i in the formula.

Proof. By definition, the moment map is given by

$$\tau(v)(A) = i \langle A \cdot v, v \rangle = -iB([A, v], c_{\mathfrak{u}}(v))$$

for $A \in \mathfrak{k}$, $v \in \mathfrak{p}_+$. As usual we extent this to a \mathbb{C} -linear map on $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This just amounts to applying the formula above with $A \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\delta \in C} \mathfrak{g}^{\delta}$. So now

$$\frac{1}{i}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}c_{j}X_{j}\right)(A) = \sum_{j,j'}c_{j}\overline{c_{j'}}\langle A\cdot X_{j}, X_{j'}\rangle.$$

But

$$\langle A \cdot X_j, X_{j'} \rangle = -B\big([A, X_j], c_{\mathfrak{u}}(X_{j'})\big) = -B\big([X_j, c_{\mathfrak{u}}(X_{j'})], A\big)$$

by a basic property of the Killing form. Here $c_{\mathfrak{u}}(X_{j'}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\delta_{j'}}$ so $[X_j, c_{\mathfrak{u}}(X_{j'})] \in [\mathfrak{g}^{\delta_j}, \mathfrak{g}^{-\delta_{j'}}]$. If $j \neq j'$ then $[\mathfrak{g}^{\delta_j}, \mathfrak{g}^{-\delta_{j'}}] = 0$ since $\delta_j - \delta_{j'}$ is not a root by strong orthogonality of Γ . Thus in fact

$$\frac{1}{i}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j X_j\right)(A) = \sum_{j=1}^r |c_j|^2 \langle A \cdot X_j, X_j \rangle.$$

(a) If $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ then $A \cdot X_j = \delta_j(A)X_j$ and we obtain

$$\frac{1}{i}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}c_{j}X_{j}\right)(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{r}|c_{j}|^{2}\delta_{j}(A)\langle X_{j}, X_{j}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{r}|c_{j}|^{2}\delta_{j}(A)$$

(b) If $A \in \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ then $\langle A \cdot X_j, X_j \rangle = 0$ and hence $(1/i)\tau \left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j X_j\right)(A) = 0$. Indeed $\langle A \cdot X_j, X_j \rangle = -B([X_j, c_\mathfrak{u}(X_j)], A)$ and $[X_j, c_\mathfrak{u}(X_j)] \in [\mathfrak{g}^{\delta_j}, \mathfrak{g}^{-\delta_j}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$. As \mathfrak{h} is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ with respect to the Killing form we obtain $\langle A \cdot X_j, X_j \rangle = 0$ as stated.

5.4. Spherical points for the action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$ be given by $\alpha = -(k_1\delta_1 + \cdots + k_r\delta_r)$ where $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge \cdots \ge k_r \ge 0$. Then

$$v_{\alpha} := \sqrt{k_1} X_1 + \dots + \sqrt{k_r} X_r$$

is a spherical point for α .

Proof. The point v_{α} lies in the subspace Σ . In view of Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\tau(v_{\alpha}) = i \sum_{j=1}^{r} k_j \delta_j = -i\alpha = \alpha_{\mathfrak{k}}. \quad \Box$$

The following is equivalent to Theorem 1.3, stated in the Introduction to this paper.

Theorem 5.5. The multiplicity free action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ is well-behaved.

Proof. Let (z_1, \ldots, z_n) be the coordinate functions on \mathfrak{p}_+ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$. Now $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+] = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ and each monomial

$$m_{\mathbf{I}}(z) = z^{\mathbf{I}} := z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$$

is a weight vector for \mathfrak{h} with weight $-(i_1\delta_1 + \cdots + i_n\delta_n)$. A fundamental highest weight vector $h_j := h_{\alpha_j}$ $(1 \le j \le r)$ is thus a linear combination of monomials $m_{\mathbf{I}}$ each with weight $\alpha_j = -(\delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_j)$. That is,

$$h_j(z) = \sum c_{\mathbf{I}} m_{\mathbf{I}}(z)$$

for some scalars $c_{\mathbf{I}}$ where the sum is over all $\mathbf{I} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ with $i_1 + \cdots + i_n = j$ and

$$i_1\delta_1 + \dots + i_n\delta_n = \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_j.$$

One such monomial is

$$m_j(z) := m_{(1^j, 0^{n-j})}(z) = z_1 \cdots z_j.$$

Note that the restriction $m_{\mathbf{I}}|_{\Sigma}$ of a monomial to $\Sigma = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_r, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$ vanishes unless $i_p = 0$ for each p > r. As $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ are linearly independent (they are strongly orthogonal) we conclude that m_j is the only monomial with weight α_j whose restriction to Σ is non-zero. Thus we have

$$h_j(z) = c_j m_j(z) + s_j(z)$$

for some $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ where $s_j|_{\Sigma} = 0$. Moreover we must have $h_j|_{\Sigma} \neq 0$ since the open *B*-orbit meets Σ . Indeed, $v_{\circ} := X_1 + \cdots + X_r$ is a point in Σ with $\mathfrak{b} \cdot v_{\circ} = \mathfrak{p}_+$. So $c_j \neq 0$ here and replacing h_j by $(1/c_j)h_j$ we can assume

$$h_j(z) = m_j(z) + s_j(z) = z_1 \cdots z_j + s_j(z)$$
 where $s_j|_{\Sigma} = 0$.

Now fix a weight $\alpha \in \Lambda$, and write

$$\alpha = a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_r \alpha_r = -(k_1 \delta_1 + \dots + k_r \delta_r)$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and

$$k_j := a_j + \dots + a_r \quad (1 \le j \le r).$$

Proposition 5.4 shows that $v_{\alpha} := \sqrt{k_1}X_1 + \cdots + \sqrt{k_r}X_r$ is a spherical point for α . An associated highest weight vector $h_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+]$ is given by

$$h_{\alpha} := h_1^{a_1} \cdots h_r^{a_r} = m_1^{a_1} \cdots m_r^{a_r} + s_{\alpha}$$

where

(5.3)
$$s_{\alpha} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{\ell_{j}=1}^{a_{j}} \binom{a_{j}}{\ell_{j}} m_{j}^{a_{j}-\ell_{j}} s_{j}^{\ell_{j}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\ell_{1}=1}^{a_{1}} \sum_{\ell_{2}=1}^{a_{2}} \cdots \sum_{\ell_{r}=1}^{a_{r}} \binom{a_{1}}{\ell_{1}} \cdots \binom{a_{r}}{\ell_{r}} m_{1}^{a_{1}-\ell_{1}} \cdots m_{r}^{a_{r}-\ell_{r}} s_{1}^{\ell_{1}} \cdots s_{r}^{\ell_{r}}.$$

We will show that the spherical point v_{α} ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) is well-adapted to the highest weight vector h_{α} in the sense of Definition 2.4.

Equation 5.3 shows that $s_{\alpha}|_{\Sigma} = 0$. As v_{α} lies in Σ this gives

$$h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha}) = m_1(v_{\alpha})^{a_1} \cdots m_r(v_{\alpha})^{a_r} = k_1^{k_1/2} \cdots k_r^{k_r/2}$$

In particular, $h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha}) \neq 0$, verifying condition (i) in Definition 2.4.

To establish condition (ii) in Definition 2.4 it suffices to show that

$$(\partial_i h_\alpha)(v_\alpha) = \langle X_i, v_\alpha \rangle h_\alpha(v_\alpha)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $\partial_i := \partial_{X_i} = \partial/\partial z_i$. As

$$\langle X_i, v_\alpha \rangle = \begin{cases} \sqrt{k_i} & \text{if } i \le r \\ 0 & \text{if } i > r \end{cases}$$

we must check that

(ii-a) $(\partial_i h_\alpha)(v_\alpha) = \sqrt{k_i} h_\alpha(v_\alpha)$ for $1 \le i \le r$, and (ii-b) $(\partial_i h_\alpha)(v_\alpha) = 0$ for i > r.

We will now assume that $(\partial_i s_\alpha)|_{\Sigma} = 0$, to be proved in Lemma 5.6 below. First suppose that $1 \leq i \leq r$. We have

$$(\partial_i h_\alpha)(z) = \partial_i (z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_r^{k_r} + s_\alpha(z)).$$

As $v_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$ and $(\partial_i s_{\alpha})|_{\Sigma} = 0$ one obtains

$$(\partial_i h_{\alpha})(v_{\alpha}) = k_i k_1^{k_1/2} \cdots k_i^{(k_i-1)/2} \cdots k_r^{k_r/2} = \sqrt{k_i} \left(k_1^{k_1/2} \cdots k_r^{k_r/2} \right) = \sqrt{k_i} h_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha})$$

as required for (ii-a). Next let i > r. Now

$$(\partial_i h_\alpha)(z) = \partial_i (z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_r^{k_r} + s_\alpha(z)) = (\partial_i s_\alpha)(z).$$

As $v_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$ and $(\partial_i s_{\alpha})|_{\Sigma} = 0$ this gives $(\partial_i h_{\alpha})(v_{\alpha}) = 0$ as required for (ii-b).

Lemma 5.6. $(\partial_i s_\alpha)|_{\Sigma} = 0.$

Proof. Applying the product rule to terms in the summation formula for s_{α} , given in Equation 5.3, and using the fact that $s_1|_{\Sigma} = \cdots = s_r|_{\Sigma} = 0$ we see that it suffices to prove that

(5.4)
$$(\partial_i s_j)|_{\Sigma} = 0$$
 for all $1 \le j \le r$ and all $1 \le i \le n$

Let j be fixed $(1 \le j \le r)$. The polynomial s_j is a linear combination of monomials $m_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = z_1^{\ell_1} \cdots z_n^{\ell_n}$ where

(a) $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = j$,

(b)
$$\ell_1 \delta_1 + \cdots + \ell_n \delta_n = \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i$$
, and

(c) $\ell_p > 0$ for at least one index p > r.

In view of condition (c) we have that $(\partial_i m_{\mathbf{L}})|_{\Sigma} = 0$ for all such monomials $m_{\mathbf{L}}$ when $i \leq r$. Thus $(\partial_i s_i)|_{\Sigma} = 0$ for $i \leq r$.

Next suppose that i > r. For $m_{\mathbf{L}}$ as above the restriction of $\partial_i m_{\mathbf{L}}$ to $\Sigma = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_r, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$ can be non-zero only if $m_{\mathbf{L}}$ has the form

$$m_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = z_1^{\ell_1} \cdots z_r^{\ell_r} z_i.$$

We claim that no such monomials appear in the expression for s_j and hence that $(\partial_i s_j)|_{\Sigma} = 0$. Indeed condition (b) above gives $\ell_1 \delta_1 + \cdots + \ell_r \delta_r + \delta_i = \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_j$ and hence

$$\delta_i = (1-\ell_1)\delta_1 + \dots + (1-\ell_j)\delta_j - \ell_{j+1}\delta_{j+1} - \dots - \ell_r\delta_r.$$

In particular δ_i is an integral linear combination of $\Gamma = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$. But this contradicts the *Restricted Roots Theorem*. [Hel94, Proposition V.4.8]. In more detail, this goes as follows. The restriction of the Killing form to $i\mathfrak{t}$ is a positive definite inner product. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ let $\widetilde{H}_{\gamma} \in i\mathfrak{t}$ be the unique vector with $B(\cdot, \widetilde{H}_{\gamma}) = \gamma|_{i\mathfrak{t}}$ and set

$$(\mathfrak{t}^- := \mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathrm{Span}(i\widetilde{H}_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma)) \subset \mathfrak{t}.$$

The roots $\gamma \in \Gamma$ remain linearly independent upon restriction to \mathfrak{t}^- and the Restricted Roots Theorem asserts, in part, that the restriction $\delta|_{\mathfrak{t}^-}$ of any positive non-compact root $\delta \in Q^+ \setminus \Gamma$ to \mathfrak{h}^- must belong to

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}\delta_1|_{\mathfrak{t}^-},\ldots,\frac{1}{2}\delta_r|_{\mathfrak{t}^-}\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_p+\delta_q)|_{\mathfrak{t}^-} : 1 \le p < q \le r\right\}.$$

Thus δ_i cannot be expressed as an integral linear combination of Γ .

5.5. An Example. We will illustrate our results using the Hermitian symmetric space $G/K = SU(r,r)/S(U(r) \times U(r))$. We write matrices $X \in M_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$ in block form as $X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ $(A, B, C, D \in M_r(\mathbb{C}))$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = su(r, r)$ for G = SU(r, r),

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline B^* & D \end{bmatrix} : A^* = -A, \ D^* = -D, \ tr(A) + tr(D) = 0 \right\} \quad (A^* := \overline{A^t}),$$

carries the Cartan involution $\theta(X) = -X^*$, yielding $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ where

$$\mathfrak{k} = s(u(r) \times u(r)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} : A^* = -A, D^* = -D, tr(A) + tr(D) = 0 \right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & | B \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : B \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

The matrix $Z_{\circ} := \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} iI & 0 \\ 0 & -iI \end{bmatrix}$ spans $Z(\mathfrak{k})$ and $J := ad(Z_{\circ})|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ gives the complex structure on \mathfrak{p} , namely $J\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & iB \\ -iB^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The $(\pm i)$ -eigenspaces \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} for the extension of J to $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} : B, C \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$ are

$$\mathfrak{p}_{+} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : B \in M_{r}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_{-} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hline C & 0 \end{bmatrix} : C \in M_{r}(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

and the map T_+ , given in Equation 5.1, is here simply $T_+\left(\left\lfloor \frac{0}{B^*} \mid 0 \right\rfloor\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{0}{0} \mid B \right\rfloor$. We have $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = sl(2r,\mathbb{C}), \ \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = s(gl(r,\mathbb{C}) \times gl(r,\mathbb{C}))$ and the compact real form $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$ is now $\mathfrak{u} = su(2r)$. The conjugation mapping $c_{\mathfrak{u}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to

 \mathfrak{u} is $c_{\mathfrak{u}}(Z) = -Z^*$ and Equation 5.2 for the Hermitian inner product on \mathfrak{p}_+ becomes

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = -tr\left(ad\left[\frac{0 & B_1}{0 & 0} \right]ad\left[\frac{0 & 0}{-B_2^* & 0} \right] \right) = 4rtr(B_1B_2^*).$$

Hence the mapping

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}B$$

is an isometry from $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ to $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ with its standard Hermitian inner product. Under this identification the adjoint action of $K = S(U(r) \times U(r))$ on \mathfrak{p}_+ becomes

$$(u_1, u_2) \cdot Z = u_1 Z u_2^{-1} = u_1 Z u_2^*.$$

Twisting by the automorphism $u_2 \mapsto ((u_2^t)^{-1} = \overline{u_2})$ on the second factor gives the standard action $(u_1, u_2) \cdot Z = u_1 Z u_2^t$. Thus $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ is geometrically equivalent to $(U(r) \times U(r)) : (\mathbb{C}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}^r)$, one of the classical multiplicity free actions.

Let $T \subset K$ and $(\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the usual maximal torus and Cartan subalgebra, consisting of diagonal matrices. Letting $\varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the functional $\varepsilon_i(diag(z_1, \ldots, z_{2r})) := z_i$ we have

roots:
$$\Delta = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \le i, j \le 2r, i \ne j\}, \text{ root spaces: } \mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{C}E_{ij}.$$

For $1 \leq j \leq r$ let $\varepsilon'_j := \varepsilon_{r+j}$. Each functional on the real vector space $i\mathfrak{t}$ can be uniquely written as

$$(a_r\varepsilon_r + \dots + a_1\varepsilon_1) + (b_1\varepsilon'_1 + \dots + b_r\varepsilon'_r)$$

for some scalars $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_r + \cdots + a_1 + b_1 + \cdots + b_r = 0$. We use lexicographic ordering on the coordinates $(a_r, \ldots, a_1; b_1, \ldots, b_r)$ to impose an ordering on $(i\mathfrak{t})^*$. This convention yields positive compact roots

$$C^+ = \{ \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \le j < i \le r \} \cup \{ \varepsilon'_i - \varepsilon'_j : 1 \le i < j \le r \}$$

so that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_+ = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ becomes

$$\mathfrak{b} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} : L \text{ lower triangular, } U \text{ upper triangular} \right\}.$$

Moreover the positive non-compact roots are

$$Q^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon'_j : 1 \le i, j \le 2r\},\$$

and $\sum_{\delta \in Q^+} \mathfrak{g}^{\delta} = \mathfrak{p}_+$ as required.

The inductive construction of a maximal ordered set of strongly orthogonal noncompact positive roots, working from our root ordering, yields $\Gamma = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ where

$$\delta_j := \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon'_j.$$

Identifying $\mathfrak{p}_+ \cong M_r(\mathbb{C})$ the slice $\Sigma := \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{g}^{\delta_j}$ becomes the set of diagonal matrices. Our symmetric space G/K and action $K : \mathfrak{p}_+$ have rank r.

Theorem 5.2 gives fundamental b-highest weights

$$\alpha_j = (\varepsilon'_1 + \dots + \varepsilon'_j) - (\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_j) \qquad (1 \le j \le r)$$

and the set of all highest weights occurring in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}_+]$ is

$$\Lambda = \{ (k_1 \varepsilon'_1 + \dots + k_r \varepsilon'_r) - (k_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + k_r \varepsilon_r) : k_1 \ge \dots \ge k_r \ge 0 \}.$$

Identifying $\mathfrak{p}_+ \cong M_r(\mathbb{C})$ the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} acts via

 $(L, U) \cdot Z = LZ - ZU$ (L lower triangular, U upper triangular)

and one can verify that the leading minor determinant

$$h_j(Z) = \begin{vmatrix} z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1j} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{j1} & \cdots & z_{jj} \end{vmatrix}$$

is a b-highest weight vector in $\mathbb{C}[M_r(\mathbb{C})]$ with weight α_j .

For $\alpha = (k_1 \varepsilon'_1 + \cdots + k_r \varepsilon'_r) - (k_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + k_r \varepsilon_r) \in \Lambda$ the spherical point $v_\alpha \in \Sigma$, furnished by Proposition 5.4, is

$$v_{\alpha} = diag(\sqrt{k_1}, \dots, \sqrt{k_r})$$

and we have the K-spherical orbit

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} = \left\{ u_1 \operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt{k_1}, \dots, \sqrt{k_r}\right) u_2 : u_1, u_2 \in U(r) \right\}$$

in $M_r(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand the open Borel orbit in $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all nonsingular matrices which admit an *LU*-factorization. These are precisely the matrices $Z \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ whose leading minor determinants $h_j(Z)$ are all non-zero. The point v_α lies in this open Borel orbit if and only if $k_r \neq 0$ and \mathcal{K}_α is entirely contained in the complement of the open Borel orbit whenever $k_r = 0$. See Remark 2.6.

References

- [ADBR07] Francesca Astengo, Bianca Di Blasio, and Fulvio Ricci. Gelfand transforms of polyradial Schwartz functions on the Heisenberg group. J. Funct. Anal., 251(2):772–791, 2007.
- [ADBR09] Francesca Astengo, Bianca Di Blasio, and Fulvio Ricci. Gelfand pairs on the Heisenberg group and Schwartz functions. J. Funct. Anal., 256(5):1565–1587, 2009.
- [BJLR97] Chal Benson, Joe Jenkins, Ronald L. Lipsman, and Gail Ratcliff. A geometric criterion for Gelfand pairs associated with the Heisenberg group. *Pacific J. Math.*, 178(1):1–36, 1997.
- [BJR90] Chal Benson, Joe Jenkins, and Gail Ratcliff. On Gel'fand pairs associated with solvable Lie groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 321(1):85–116, 1990.
- [BJR92] Chal Benson, Joe Jenkins, and Gail Ratcliff. Bounded K-spherical functions on Heisenberg groups. J. Funct. Anal., 105(2):409–443, 1992.
- [BJRW96] Chal Benson, Joe Jenkins, Gail Ratcliff, and Tefera Worku. Spectra for Gelfand pairs associated with the Heisenberg group. *Colloq. Math.*, 71(2):305–328, 1996.
- [Bou81] Philippe Bougerol. Théorème central limite local sur certains groupes de Lie. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 14(4):403–432 (1982), 1981.
- [BR96] Chal Benson and Gail Ratcliff. A classification of multiplicity free actions. J. Algebra, 181(1):152–186, 1996.
- [BR98] Chal Benson and Gail Ratcliff. Combinatorics and spherical functions on the Heisenberg group. *Represent. Theory*, 2:79–105 (electronic), 1998.
- [BR04] Chal Benson and Gail Ratcliff. On multiplicity free actions. In Representations of real and p-adic groups, volume 2 of Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., pages 221–304. Singapore Univ. Press, Singapore, 2004.
- [BR08] Chal Benson and Gail Ratcliff. The space of bounded spherical functions on the free 2-step nilpotent Lie group. *Transform. Groups*, 13(2):243–281, 2008.

- [Car87] Giovanna Carcano. A commutativity condition for algebras of invariant functions. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7), 1(4):1091–1105, 1987.
- [DP96] Andrzej Daszkiewicz and Tomasz Przebinda. On the moment map of a multiplicity free action. *Collog. Math.*, 71(1):107–110, 1996.
- [FH87] Jacques Faraut and Khélifa Harzallah. Deux cours d'analyse harmonique, volume 69 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1987. Papers from the Tunis summer school held in Tunis, August 27–September 15, 1984.
- [FR07] Fabio Ferrari Ruffino. The topology of the spectrum for Gelfand pairs on Lie groups. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8), 10(3):569–579, 2007.
- [Hel78] Sigurdur Helgason. Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, volume 80 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.
- [Hel94] Sigurdur Helgason. Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces, volume 39 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [HU91] Roger Howe and Tōru Umeda. The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions. *Math. Ann.*, 290(3):565–619, 1991.
- [Joh80] Kenneth D. Johnson. On a ring of invariant polynomials on a Hermitian symmetric space. J. Algebra, 67(1):72–81, 1980.
- [Kac80] V. G. Kac. Some remarks on nilpotent orbits. J. Algebra, 64(1):190–213, 1980.
- [Kno98] Friedrich Knop. Some remarks on multiplicity free spaces. In Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), volume 514 of NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., pages 301–317. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [Kob05] Toshiyuki Kobayashi. Multiplicity-free representations and visible actions on complex manifolds. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 41(3):497–549, 2005.
- [Kob07] Toshiyuki Kobayashi. Visible actions on symmetric spaces. *Transform. Groups*, 12(4):671–694, 2007.
- [Lea98] Andrew S. Leahy. A classification of multiplicity free representations. J. Lie Theory, 8(2):367–391, 1998.
- [Lip80] Ronald L. Lipsman. Orbit theory and harmonic analysis on Lie groups with co-compact nilradical. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 59(3):337–374, 1980.
- [Lip82] Ronald L. Lipsman. Orbit theory and representations of Lie groups with co-compact radical. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 61(1):17–39, 1982.
- [OV90] A. L. Onishchik and È. B. Vinberg. *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites.
- [Puk78] L. Pukanszky. Unitary representations of Lie groups with cocompact radical and applications. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 236:1–49, 1978.
- [Sas09] Atsumu Sasaki. Visible actions on irreducible multiplicity-free spaces. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (18):3445–3466, 2009.
- [Str91] Robert S. Strichartz. L^p harmonic analysis and Radon transforms on the Heisenberg group. J. Funct. Anal., 96(2):350–406, 1991.
- [Vin86] È. B. Vinberg. Complexity of actions of reductive groups. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 20(1):1–13, 96, 1986.
- [Wil92] N. J. Wildberger. The moment map of a Lie group representation. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 330(1):257–268, 1992.

Dept of Mathematics, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{bensonf}@ecu.edu,\ \texttt{ratcliffg}@ecu.edu$