

KÄHLER AND SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON NILMANIFOLDS

CHAL BENSON and CAROLYN S. GORDON†

(Received 5 September 1986)

1. INTRODUCTION

THERE HAS been recent interest in examples of compact symplectic manifolds which do not admit Kähler structures. Thurston described the first such example in [14] and other examples have appeared in [1], [2], [3], [8], [9], [11], and [16]. With the exception of [11], all of these examples are nilmanifolds. A nilmanifold is a quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ of a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group G by a co-compact discrete subgroup Γ . It is known that such manifolds are the most general compact homogeneous spaces for nilpotent Lie groups [10]. In this paper we study the existence of Kähler structures and symplectic structures on arbitrary nilmanifolds. The non-existence of Kähler structures in the above examples is a special case of our main result:

THEOREM A. *If a nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ admits a Kähler structure, then G is abelian and $\Gamma \backslash G$ is diffeomorphic to a torus.*

The proof of Theorem A is given in Section 2. One corollary is a closely related topological result.

THEOREM B. *Let M be a compact $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -manifold where Γ is a discrete, finitely generated, torsion free, nilpotent group. If M admits a Kähler structure, then Γ is abelian and M has the homotopy type of a torus.*

Theorem A suggests that the nilmanifold setting yields many examples of compact symplectic non-Kähler manifolds. Any non-toral nilmanifold which can be given a symplectic structure is such an example. However, as remarked in [3], it can be difficult to find symplectic nilmanifolds. We address this issue in Section 3.

Suppose that G is any Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . It is well known that any orbit O in $\mathfrak{g}^* = (\text{Hom } \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ under the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* carries a canonical symplectic structure ω_O [6]. We call an orbit O *normal* if the isotropy subgroup of any point in O is normal. In this case O is itself a group and ω_O is left O -invariant (see Section 3 for details). One obtains a symplectic structure ω_O on $\Gamma \backslash O$ for any discrete subgroup Γ of O . In the nilmanifold case, this construction is universal.

THEOREM C. *Let $(\Gamma \backslash G, \omega)$ be a symplectic nilmanifold. There is a normal coadjoint orbit O (for some larger nilpotent Lie group) and a Lie group isomorphism $\phi: O \rightarrow G$ such that $\bar{\phi}^*(\omega)$ is cohomologous to ω_O . Here, $\bar{\phi}$ denotes the diffeomorphism $\phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \backslash O \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G$ given by ϕ .*

†Partially supported by NSF Grant 8502084.

Theorem C says that coadjoint orbits provide a systematic way to produce all nilmanifolds which admit symplectic structures. We illustrate this in Section 3 by showing how the examples of Cordero, Fernandez and Gray [3] arise from coadjoint orbits. It is interesting that the examples are not *ad hoc* but fit into a natural framework that has wonderful applications in representation theory [6].

We conclude this section by noting that the most obvious way to construct a symplectic or Kähler structure on $\Gamma \backslash G$ is to begin with a left G -invariant one on G . However, if G has a homogeneous Kähler structure then $\Gamma \backslash G$ is complex parallizable and hence is a torus by a theorem of Wang [15]. Theorem C shows that if $\Gamma \backslash G$ has a symplectic structure then it has one coming from a homogeneous symplectic structure on G . Thus for nilmanifolds, there is a close parallel between the general situation and the homogeneous case.

2. KÄHLER NILMANIFOLDS

There are a number of cohomological conditions necessary for a compact manifold to admit a Kähler structure. One condition is that the odd Betti numbers must be even; this was used by Thurston to prove non-existence of a Kähler structure in the example cited in Section 1. For a general nilmanifold the Betti numbers can have any parity. We will instead use the following:

HARD LEFSCHETZ THEOREM. (See [4]) *Let M^{2n} be a compact Kähler manifold and let $[\omega]$ denote the cohomology class of its Kähler form ω . Then for each $j=0, 1, \dots, n$, the map $\cup [\omega]^j: H^{n-j}(M) \rightarrow H^{n+j}(M)$ defined by $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \cup [\omega]^j$ is an isomorphism.*

Let $\Gamma \backslash G$ be a (compact) nilmanifold and let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . We denote by $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$ the cohomology ring of \mathfrak{g} with trivial coefficients \mathbb{R} . Recall that this is the cohomology of the complex $\wedge(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ of left-invariant forms on G . A theorem of Nomizu [12] states that the standard inclusion $\wedge(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \Omega^*(\Gamma \backslash G)$ gives an isomorphism $H^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma \backslash G; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose that the nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ admits a Kähler structure. By Nomizu's theorem the Kähler form is cohomologous to a left-invariant form $\omega \in \wedge(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Since $[\omega]^n$ is non-zero in $H^{2n}(\Gamma \backslash G; \mathbb{R})$, ω is non-degenerate and hence is a symplectic structure. By the Hard Lefschetz Theorem, the map

$$\wedge [\omega]^{n-1}: H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad (2.1)$$

must be an isomorphism. We assume that \mathfrak{g} is non-abelian and show that for any closed, non-degenerate form ω in $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, the map (2.1) is not surjective. This contradiction will complete the proof.

Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is $(r+1)$ -step nilpotent (note that $r \geq 1$ since \mathfrak{g} is non-abelian) and consider the descending central series for \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(r)} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(r+1)} = \{0\}$ where $\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}]$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ is contained in the center of \mathfrak{g} . Choose a vector space complement $\mathfrak{a}^{(i)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$:

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} + \mathfrak{a}^{(i)} \quad (2.2)$$

for $i=0, \dots, r-1$ in such a way that $\mathfrak{a}^{(i)}$ is spanned by elements of the form $[U, V]$ with $V \in \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}$. We have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}^{(0)} + \mathfrak{a}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathfrak{a}^{(r)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Next let

$$\mathfrak{b}^{(i)} = \mathfrak{a}^{(0)} + \dots + \mathfrak{a}^{(i)}. \tag{2.4}$$

We use (2.3) to view $\mathfrak{a}^{(i)*}$ as a subspace of \mathfrak{g}^* . Thus (2.3) yields a dual decomposition of the space of forms,

$$\wedge^s(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \sum_{\substack{i_0 + \dots + i_r = s \\ i_j \leq n_j}} \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_r} \tag{2.5}$$

where $n_j = \dim \mathfrak{a}^{(j)}$ and $\wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_r} = \wedge^{i_0}(\mathfrak{a}^{(0)*}) \wedge \dots \wedge \wedge^{i_r}(\mathfrak{a}^{(r)*})$.

We will use decomposition (2.5) to study $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$, $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that for $\alpha \in \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$d\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1}) \tag{2.6}$$

It is clear from (2.6) that

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{g}^{(1)})^\perp = \wedge^{1, 0, \dots, 0}. \tag{2.7}$$

Next we consider $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$.

LEMMA 2.8. Any closed 2-form σ belongs to $\wedge^{1, 0, \dots, 1} + \Sigma \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0}$.

Proof: Write $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ with $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 1} + \Sigma \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 2}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Sigma^{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0}$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$, equation (2.6) yields $d\sigma(X, Y, Z) = -\sigma([X, Y], Z) = -\sigma_1([X, Y], Z)$ since Z is central. Hence if σ is closed, then $\sigma_1(U, Z) = 0$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$. Thus $\sigma_1 \in \wedge^{1, 0, \dots, 0, 1}$ and the lemma is proved. ■

Choose a basis $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_r}$ of $\wedge^{0, \dots, 0, 1}$. By Lemma 2.8, the symplectic form ω can be written as

$$\omega = \beta_1 \wedge \lambda_1 + \dots + \beta_{n_r} \wedge \lambda_{n_r} \text{ modulo } \Sigma \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0}. \tag{2.9}$$

for some $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_r} \in \wedge^{1, 0, \dots, 0}$. Non-degeneracy of ω shows that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n_r}$ are linearly independent and thus can be extended to a basis

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_r}, \dots, \beta_{n_0} \tag{2.10}$$

for $\wedge^{1, 0, \dots, 0} = \mathfrak{a}^{(0)*}$. We note in passing that this discussion shows that $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = n_0 \geq n_r = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ is a necessary condition for the existence of a symplectic form on an $(r+1)$ -step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 2.11 addresses the structure of $H^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g})$.

LEMMA 2.11. Every form $\sigma \in \wedge^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is closed. If σ is also exact, then σ is divisible by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_0}$.

Proof. By (2.6), if $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}^{(i)})^* = (\mathfrak{a}^{(i)} + \dots + \mathfrak{a}^{(r)})^*$, then $d\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}^{(i-1)*}$. Hence if $\eta \in \wedge^{i_0, \dots, i_r}$, then each term of $d\eta$ belongs to a space \wedge^{k_0, \dots, k_r} where for some $j \geq 1$, we have $k_j = i_j - 1$ and $k_0 + \dots + k_{j-1} = i_0 + \dots + i_{j-1} + 2$. In particular, if $i_0 + \dots + i_r = 2n - 1$, then $i_0 + \dots + i_{j-1} \geq \dim \mathfrak{b}^{(j-1)} - 1$, so $k_0 + \dots + k_{j-1} > \dim \mathfrak{b}^{(j-1)}$ and $d\eta = 0$. Thus the first statement of the lemma follows from (2.5). Next, if $i_0 + \dots + i_r = n - 2$, then any non-zero term of $d\eta$ must satisfy $k_0 + \dots + k_{j-1} = \dim \mathfrak{b}^{(j-1)}$ and, in particular, $k_0 = n_0$. Hence $d\eta \in \Sigma \wedge^{n_0, i_1, \dots, i_r}$ and the lemma follows. ■

To complete the proof of Theorem A, we show that if $\sigma \in \wedge^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is divisible by $\lambda_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda_{n_r}$ but not by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_r}$, then $[\sigma]$ is not in the image of the map $\wedge [\omega]^{n-1}: H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. In view of equation (2.7) and Lemma 2.11, it suffices to verify that for any $\gamma \in \wedge^{1,0,\dots,0}$, $\gamma \wedge \omega^{n-1}$ does not differ from σ by a form divisible by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_r}$.

Write $\omega^{n-1} = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ where $\delta_1 \in \wedge^{n_0-2, n_1, \dots, n_r}$ and $\delta_2 \in \Sigma \wedge^{n_0-1, i_1, \dots, i_r} + \Sigma \wedge^{n_0, i_1, \dots, i_r}$. Each term of δ_1 is divisible by $\lambda_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda_{n_r}$ and hence also by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_r}$, in view of equation (2.9). Since $\gamma \wedge \delta_2$ is divisible by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_r}$ we see that $\gamma \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \gamma \wedge \delta_1 + \gamma \wedge \delta_2$ is divisible by $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{n_r}$ and hence can't be cohomologous to σ . ■

Proof of Theorem B. The hypotheses on Γ imply that there is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group G containing Γ as a discrete cocompact subgroup [10]. The group G is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space [10] so that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -manifold and hence homotopy equivalent to M . Theorem A shows that Γ is abelian and $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a torus. ■

There are compact manifolds homotopy equivalent to tori but not diffeomorphic to tori [5]. We do not know if any of these fake tori admit Kähler structures.

A nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ is called 2-step if G is a 2-step group (i.e., $[\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]] = 0$). It is shown in [13] that a compact manifold is a 2-step nilmanifold if and only if it is the total space of a principal torus bundle over a torus. This provides a corollary to Theorem A.

COROLLARY 2.12. *Let M be the total space of a non-trivial principal T^n -bundle over T^m . Then M does not admit a Kähler structure.*

The examples described in Section 3 show that many of the manifolds in Corollary 2.12 have symplectic structures.

3. SYMPLECTIC NILMANIFOLDS

A Lie group G acts on \mathfrak{g}^* , the dual of its Lie algebra, by the coadjoint action

$$Ad^*(g)f = f \circ Ad(g^{-1}) \tag{3.1}$$

for $g \in G, f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. The orbit $O = O_f$ through a given $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ can be identified with G/G_f where $G_f = \{g \in G \mid Ad^*(g)f = f\}$. If f_0 and f_1 lie in the same orbit then G_{f_0} and G_{f_1} are conjugate subgroups of G . We call an orbit O normal if G_f is a normal subgroup of G for any (hence all) $f \in O$. In this case, O is a Lie group in a natural way and the identification $O \leftrightarrow G/G_f$ is canonical (independent of $f \in O$). Moreover, if G is connected, simply connected and nilpotent then so is O . We denote by $\pi_f: G \rightarrow O = O_f$ the projection given by $\pi_f(g) = Ad^*(g)f$. There is a homogeneous symplectic structure ω_o on O characterized by

$$\pi_f^*(\omega_o) = -df.$$

When O is a normal orbit, ω_o is left O -invariant. For further details on the orbit construction, we refer the reader to [6].

If a normal orbit O is rational then O will have a discrete cocompact subgroup Γ [10] and $\Gamma \backslash O$ inherits the symplectic structure ω_o from O . Theorem C states that these are the only nilmanifolds admitting symplectic structures. Before proving this, we will discuss some examples.

Example 3.3. The $(2n + 1)$ dimensional Heisenberg group H_n has a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with basis elements $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$ satisfying $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$ (with other brackets vanishing). The dual basis for \mathfrak{g}^* will be denoted by $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, \lambda$. The orbit $O = O_\lambda$ is a normal orbit and $O \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. To see this, note that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_λ of G_λ is $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{v \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \lambda[v, -] = 0\} = \text{Span}(Z)$. This is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is abelian. The symplectic structure ω_O is $\omega_O = -d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \wedge \nu_i$. This shows how the torus T^{2n} with its usual symplectic structure can be obtained from an orbit.

Example 3.4. Let $G_{p,q}$ be the connected simply-connected group with Lie algebra given by $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Span}(X_1, \dots, X_p, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, X'_1, \dots, X'_q, Y', Z'_1, \dots, Z'_q, W)$ where the non-zero brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i, Y] &= Z_i \\ [X'_i, Y'] &= Z'_i \\ [X_i, Z_i] &= W \\ [X'_i, Z'_i] &= W \\ [Y, Y'] &= W \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We write $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p, \nu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_q, \nu', \lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_q, \gamma$ for the dual basis. One computes that $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma = \text{Span}(W)$, which is an ideal (the center) in \mathfrak{g} so that O_γ is a normal orbit. The Lie algebra of O_γ is $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Span}(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{X}', Y', \bar{Z}')$ where $[X_i, Y] = Z_i$ and $[X'_i, Y'] = Z'_i$. The symplectic structure ω_O on $O_{p,q} = O_\gamma$ is given by

$$\omega_O = -d\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i \wedge \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^q \mu'_i \wedge \lambda'_i + \nu \wedge \nu'. \tag{3.6}$$

The resulting nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash O_{p,q}$ are the symplectic non-Kähler manifolds in [2] and [3]. In particular, $O_{1,0} \cong H_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ gives Thurston’s example [14]. Note that $O_{p,q}$ is a 2-step group so that one can view $\Gamma \backslash O_{p,q}$ as a principal torus bundle over a torus.

Proof of Theorem C. Let $(\Gamma \backslash G, \omega)$ be a given symplectic nilmanifold. As in the proof of Theorem A, Nomizu’s Theorem shows that ω is cohomologous to a homogeneous symplectic structure $\omega_0 \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. We will find a normal coadjoint orbit O and an isomorphism $\phi: O \rightarrow G$ with $\phi^*(\omega_0) = \omega_O$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} + \text{Span}(W)$ where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G and W is a new generator. We define $[\cdot, \cdot]^\sim$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ in terms of ω_0 and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\begin{aligned} [X, W]^\sim &= 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \text{and } [X, Y]^\sim &= [X, Y] + \omega_0(X, Y)W \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

It is not difficult to verify that $[\cdot, \cdot]^\sim$ satisfies the Jacobi identity by using the Jacobi identity for $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the fact that $d\omega_0 = 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is nilpotent and non-degeneracy of ω_0 shows that $\text{Span}(W)$ is the center \mathfrak{z} of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. One has an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.8}$$

Let \tilde{G} be the connected simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $f: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional with $f(W) = 1$ and $f(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. From equations (3.7) one sees that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_f = \{v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \mid f[v, -] = 0\} = \mathfrak{z}$. Hence $O = O_f$ is a normal orbit with Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}$. The canonical isomorphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by (3.8) can be exponentiated to obtain a Lie group isomorphism $\phi: O \rightarrow G$ (both O and G are simply connected).

For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} df(X, Y) &= -f([X, Y]^\sim) \\ &= -f([X, Y] + \omega_0(X, Y)W) \\ &= -\omega_0(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi^*(\omega_0) = \omega_0$ as claimed. \blacksquare

Homological algebra provides an abstract viewpoint on the above proof. The central extension (3.8) corresponds to $[\omega_0]$ under the isomorphism $\text{Ext}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g})$. We also remark that Theorem C can be established by appealing to Theorem 5.4.1 of [7], which classifies certain simply connected homogeneous symplectic manifolds.

Acknowledgements—We thank Ron Dotzel and David Webb for their helpful insights. Thanks also to Dusa McDuff for her suggested improvements to an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. E. ABBENA: An example of an almost Kähler manifold which is not Kählerian, *Boll. Un. mat. ital.* (6) 3-A, (1984), 383–392.
2. L. A. CORDERO, M. FERNANDEZ and M. DELEON: Examples of compact non-Kähler almost Kähler manifolds, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 280–286.
3. L. A. CORDERO, M. FERNANDEZ and A. GRAY: Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure, *Topology* **25** (1986), 375–380.
4. P. GRIFFITHS and J. HARRIS: *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, New York (1978).
5. R. C. KIRBY and L. C. SIEBENMANN: *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1977).
6. A. A. KIRILLOV: Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups, *Russ. math. Survs.* **17** (1962), 53–104.
7. B. KOSTANT: *Quantization and unitary representations* from Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications III, Ed. C. T. Taam, Lect. Notes Math., vol 170, Springer, New York, (1970).
8. J. F. T. LOPERA: A family of compact non-Kähler manifolds which admit semi-Kähler or almost-Kähler structures, *Boll. Un. mat. ital.* (6) 4-A, (1985), 358–365.
9. J. F. T. LOPERA: Sur la topologie des nilvariétés de Heisenberg, *C. R Acad. Sc. Paris* **302**, Série I, no. 16, (1986), 579–582.
10. A. MALCEV: On a class of homogeneous spaces, A. M. S. Translation no. 42 (1951).
11. D. MCDUFF: Examples of simply-connected symplectic non-Kählerian manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **20**, (1984), 267–277.
12. K. NOMIZU: On the cohomology of homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups, *Ann. Math.* **59**, (1954), 531–538.
13. R. S. PALAIS and T. E. STEWART: Torus bundles over a torus, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 26–29.
14. W. P. THURSTON: Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **55** (1976), 476–478.
15. H. WANG: Complex paralisable manifolds, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **5** (1954), 771–776.
16. B. WATSON: New examples of strictly almost Kähler manifolds, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 541–544.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Missouri-St. Louis
 8001 Natural Bridge Road
 St. Louis, MO 63121-4499
 U.S.A

Department of Mathematics
Washington University in St. Louis
 Lindell and Skinker Boulevards
 St. Louis, MO 63130
 U.S.A